

122            **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23877 of 2018  
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 19, 2018**

**AJIT SINGH DHILLON**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS**

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present:     Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate  
                 for the petitioner.

**\*\*\*\*\***

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the quashing of order dated 16.06.2015 (P-1) passed by respondent No.2 whereby the only 67% provisional pension has been released to him WITH FURTHER prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the gratuity and full pension to him along-with other benefits that too, with interest.

2.            The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that vide impugned order dated 16.06.2015 (P-1) petitioner was granted the only provisional pension to the extent of 67% and the balance amount of pension was not released to him on account of pendency of some departmental proceedings.

Now, the petitioner stands exonerated in those departmental proceedings vide inquiry report dated 16.07.2018 (P-3) but subsequent thereto, no order for the review of afore-said impugned order (P-1), has been passed by the authorities concerned. Even, representation dated Nil (P-4) moved by the petitioner did not fetch any response. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide his representation dated Nil (P-4) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated Nil (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

**SEPTEMBER 19, 2018**  
**sham**

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned***      ***Yes/No***

***Whether reportable***                      ***Yes/No***