

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25527 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:16.1.2018

Suman and others

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Amanjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ashu Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are Resource Persons. Petitioner no.1 is not qualified to be appointed as an Extension Lecturer whereas petitioners no.2 and 3 are qualified to be appointed as Extension Lecturers. They claim that their services should be regularized as Lecturer in Political Science/Psychology/Geography. The prayer is far-fetched to be subserved by a mandamus. Petitioner no.1 is not even qualified to hold the post of Lecturer in terms of the rule. Therefore, the law in State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others (2017) 1 SCC 148 would not apply in support of the plea of regularization on which this petition is based. It is another matter that the services of the petitioners as Resource Persons can be utilized by the respondent Department. They have no right to regularization without a policy to depend on. It is admitted that the

petitioners are working as Resource Persons and are not under any immediate threat of removal. Apprehension is misplaced. This Court has no doubt that the department would act fairly in the case of the petitioners and continue their engagements until their work and conduct is found satisfactory and the arrangement lasts till qualified candidates are appointed from the open market, on merit, to prevent disruption in the academia.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of.

16.1.2018
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No