

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 209

FAO-3713-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.12.2018

Ramesh Kumar

..... Appellant

VERSUS

Ajay Sethi and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate, for
Mr. R. N. Singhal, Advocate, for respondent No. 5.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

On 27.07.2018, the following order was passed by this Court: -

“In the present case, notice of motion was issued on 21.02.2013. However, only respondent No.5 was served. Therefore, fresh notices were issued to respondents No.1 to 4 as they were not found to be residing at the given addresses. Thereafter, through order dated 11.09.2013, learned counsel for the appellant was directed to take steps to effect service upon respondents No.1 to 4 after giving their fresh/correct addresses. Since September 2013, till date fresh addresses have not been furnished by learned counsel for the appellant and as such, no notices could be issued to the contesting respondents No.1 to 4.

On prayer made by learned counsel for the appellant, in the interest of justice last opportunity is granted to him to furnish correct addresses of the contesting respondents No.1 to 4 after which notices be issued to them for 13.12.2018. However, such opportunity is granted, subject to payment of ₹ 5,000/- as costs, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Employees Welfare Fund, Chandigarh.”

As per office report, notices to respondents No. 1 to 4 could not be issued as neither the learned counsel for the appellant supplied correct addresses of the contesting respondents nor furnished the receipt showing deposit of the awarded costs.

There is also no appearance on behalf of the appellant.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

13.12.2018
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes / No
: Yes / No