IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23618 of 2018
Decided on : 17.09.2018

Ranjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

The Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-Competent Authority, District Fatehgarh Sahib and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 12.07.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.1, in pursuance of the directions issued by this Court in **CWP No.17703 of 2017 'Sarabjit Singh Vs. Union of India and others'** and the order of the main case passed in **CWP No.17010 of 2017 'Vinod Kumar Vs. The State of Haryana and others'** decided on 06.09.2017.

By virtue of the order impugned, respondent No.1 has declined the claim of the petitioner of 100% solatium and other accrual benefits.

It is not disputed that there is an alternative remedy to approach the statutory arbitrator as provided under the National Highways Act, 1956.

NAVEEN NAGPAL
2018.09.18 14:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to avail his alternative remedy before the statutory arbitrator.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of with the abovesaid liberty.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 17, 2018
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*

NAVEEN NAGPAL
2018.09.18 14:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document