

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23408-2018

Date of decision-14.09.2018

Chain Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Chief Managing Director, BBMB and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant and release the benefit of promotional increment to petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by a judgment passed by this Court in CWP-17100-2016 and other connected matters, titled as “**Paramjit Singh Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others**” decided on 27.03.2018. Further he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Bhakra Dam Water Regulation Nangal, BBMB, Nangal, District Rupnagar to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.10.2016 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Chief Engineer, Bhakra Dam Water Regulation Nangal, BBMB, Nangal, District Rupnagar to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.10.2016 (Annexure P-7) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

14.09.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No