

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25069 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:17.12.2018

Gaje Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for respondents No.4 & 5.

Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The petitioners impugn order dated 30.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.2 by which the matter has been remanded back to the competent authority for a decision afresh after hearing all the affected parties.

Section 42 of East Punjab Holdings (Consolidation and Prevention of Fragmentation) Act, 1948, in particular the proviso thereto mandates such a procedure.

We are thus of the opinion that the impugned order does not

CWP No.25069 of 2017

:2:

suffer from any infirmity as it is in confirmity with the provisions of law.

The petition is, therefore, dismissed leaving the parties to the order of remand which would give them the liberty to agitate their claims before the competent authority in accordance with law.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

17.12.2018

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No