

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.112

Civil Writ Petition No.23313 of 2018
DECIDED ON: September 18, 2018

KAILASH CHAND SHARMA AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ganesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned action of the respondents whereby despite the order passed by this Court in CWP No.9347 of 2016 captioned as Jagat Singh & Ors. vs. State of Haryana & ors. (P-9), the benefit of selection Grade of Rs.7500-12000 has been granted to the petitioners w.e.f. 01.08.2000 instead of 01.01.1996 for the post of lecturers as per Government of Haryana letter dated 12.12.2000 (P-1) vide which Head Masters of Government High Schools and the 20% cadre posts of Lecturers have been granted Selection Grade w.e.f. 01.08.2000 but now the Head Masters have been granted the pay scale of Rs.7500-12000 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 instead of 01.08.2000 in compliance with the direction given by this Court in CWP No.8082 of 2001 titled as *R.K. Verma & ors. vs. State of Haryana & ors.* allowed on 01.12.2010 (P-2), LPA No.951 of 2011 titled as *State of Haryana & ors. vs. R.K. Verma & ors.*, dismissed on 31.10.2012

Civil Writ Petition No.23313 of 2018

(P-3), SLP of the State of Haryana dismissed on 01.11.2013 and even review petition also dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 02.12.2014 and the issue is already settled that the pay anomaly has to be removed from the date of inception WITH FURTHER prayer to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of revised pay scale after removing the pay anomalies, to the petitioner w.e.f. 01.01.1996 instead of 01.08.2000 with all consequential benefits.

2. At the very outset of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the legal notice dated 15.05.2018 (P-10), in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 15.05.2018 (P-10) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, particularly in view of the judgments referred to above in para 1 of this order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

September 18, 2018
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No