

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23064-2018

Date of decision-01.10.2018

Amarjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms.Sonia G.Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 02.02.2016 (Annexure P-10) whereby the petitioner has been dismissed from service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the finality has not been accorded to the review petition dated 24.03.2016 (Annexure P-11) preferred by the petitioner which is not being considered and decided by respondent No.1. Further, she states that at this stage she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Civil Secretariat, Punjab Chandigarh to accord finality to the review petition after considering the recommendation dated 13.04.2015 (Annexure P-12) made by respondent No.4-Executive Engineer, Ropar Head Works Division, Roopnagar.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Civil Secretariat, Punjab Chandigarh to accord finality to the review petition after considering the recommendation dated 13.04.2015 (Annexure P-12) made by respondent No.4-Executive Engineer, Ropar Head Works Division, Roopnagar within three months from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No