

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2305 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 02, 2018

HUKAMI DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to count the work charge period in the department from 1968 to 1979 in the qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide legal notice dated 27/28.11.2017 (Annexure P-1), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1-Managing Director, UHBVN Ltd. Shakti Bhawan, Sector 6, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 27/28.11.2017

(Annexure P-1) and to take a conscious decision, in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

FEBRUARY 02, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>