

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24706-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-01.03.2018.

Kailash Chand Saini

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner's grievance is relating to consideration of his name to the post of Deputy Commandant (Architect). Further with reference to review of the feeder cadre etc.

(2.) Perusal of rules of recruitment relating to Deputy Commandant (Architect), it is evident that post would be filled up by deputation with certain criteria stipulated in the rules of recruitment which reads as under:-

“By Deputation (including short-term contract):

Officers of Central or State Government Departments or Statutory Organisations or Public Sector Under Takings or recognised Universities and Research Organisations:

(a) holding analogous post on regular basis or with five years regular service in the grade of Pay Band – 3 Rs.15600-39100 plus Grade Pay Rs.5400 or seven years regular service in the grade of Pay Band-2

Rs.9300-34800 plus Grade pay Rs.4600; and

(b) possessing Degree in Architecture from a recognized University or Institution and registered with Council of Architecture under Architecture Act, 1972 and six years experience in Architectural Planning.”

Admittedly, petitioner do not fulfill the requisite qualification prescribed for the post of Deputy Commandant (Architect). Thus, he has not made out statutory right to seek consideration of his name for the post of Deputy Commandant (Architect).

(3.) Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 01, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.