

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24655 of 2017

Date of Decision : 24.09.2018

Karanjot Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal
Sciences University and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Saurabh Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.H.N.S.Gill, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with a prayer that he be granted admission to Bv.S.C. Course in the session commencing from 2017. A specific allegation was made that he being higher in merit has been ignored for admission, in preference to those who are lower in merit.

After issuing notice of motion reply has been filed by the University, wherein in para 2 of the preliminary objections it has been averred as under :

“On 29.09.2017 the candidates were called as per their rank. Chandan Kumar, Rank No.66.1 was called and given admission. Thereafter as per rank No.66.2 petitioner was called but was absent. Thereafter Shivam Sharma

rank 66.3 was called, being present, was given admission.

Afterward, Pratibha Thakur having rank 66.4 and Arshdeepak Singh having rank 66.5 were being absent, the next candidates were called as per merit. Harsimranjeet Singh having rank 66.6 and Purnima Budhiraja were present on their turn therefore, both were considered. Thus petitioner being absent could not be considered for admission.”

Even though reply was filed way back in April 2017, the same being not on the court record liberty was granted to the University to file another one which they did in April 2018. The contents of both the replies are identical.

Since the assertion made by the University in para 2 of the preliminary objections, as extracted above, has not been controverted by the petitioner by filing a counter affidavit, we assume its correctness. Since the omission of the petitioner from the admission process has been satisfactorily explained by the University, we decline interference as the claim of the petitioner does not inspire confidence.

Petition dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

24.09.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No