

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(219)

CWP No. 3490 of 2016

Date of Decision : 29.11.2018

Phoolwati

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mrs. Parmeshwari Hooda, District Elementary Education Officer, Rohtak in person.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In pursuant to the order dated 19.11.2018 passed by this Court, Smt. Parmeshwari Hooda, District Elementary Education Officer, Rohtak, is present in Court. She has filed an affidavit, which is taken on record and a copy of which has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Parmeshwari Hooda, District Elementary Education Officer, Rohtak states that the service book of the husband of the petitioner is not traceable and according to the record available, the said service book was taken by the husband of the petitioner during the service but she is unable to explain as to how and under what rule, the service book was handed over to the husband of the petitioner, which should remain with the School Authorities or the Department of Education.

Be that as it may, she states that she needs four months' time to prepare the duplicate service book for which she needs an application from the petitioner. Rather than inviting an application, once the District Elementary Education Officer, Rohtak, is present in Court, she is directed to collect the record and duplicate service book should be prepared. In this regard, the petitioner is also directed to help the authorities so as to inform them as to which places the husband of the petitioner had served during his service career.

Let the exercise of preparing the service book of the husband of the petitioner be completed within a period of four months as requested by the department and thereafter the pension case of Manohar Singh, the husband of the petitioner, be prepared. Within a period of next three months of preparing the duplicate service book, the pensionary benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for, should be released to the petitioner.

The compliance of this order will be reported by the respondents after the expiry of the period to this Hon'ble Court.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*