

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2291-2018

Date of decision-02.02.2018

Makhan Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Puru Jarewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to grant the benefit of 02 years extension in the service age of retirement to the petitioner as being granted to the regular counterparts of the petitioner posted in Punjab Home Guard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Director General of Police-cum-Commissioner General Police (Home Guards) and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Director General of Police-cum-Commissioner General Police (Home

Guards) and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandgiarh to take final decision in the matter within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

02.02.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No