

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22878-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 10.09.2018

Kartar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein prays for a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the inaction at the end of the respondents for not opening the tender/technical bid of the petitioner as regards the work in question. However, it is urged that informally the reason communicated to the petitioner for rejection of his technical bid is that the tenderers were required to furnish an undertaking in the form of an affidavit on a stamp paper as regards the availability of batch type hot mix plant, whereas the petitioner had submitted a letter on the letter head of the agency that had agreed to let the said equipment on hire to the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner before approaching this Court had even represented to the respondents vide representation dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-3) as regards his grievance and had also pointed out that the tender notice contained no such condition. But to no avail.

2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record, we dispose of this petition, at this stage, only with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-3), which purports to have been made by the petitioner and is pending consideration before the respondent-authorities, within a period of two weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order in accordance with law shall be passed assigning reasons in support thereof.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

10.09.2018
Anodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No