

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2285 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.10.2018

Baljit Kaur

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rukhsaar Dhindsa, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.

Petitioner herein has challenged para No.4 of the impugned instructions dated 12.12.2006 (Annexure P3) vide which the contributory pension scheme introduced by respondent No.2 has been made applicable retrospective w.e.f. 01.01.2014 thereby taking away the acquired right of the late husband of the petitioner to pension under the Civil Service Rules.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for both the parties have contended that the matter in controversy is squarely covered by judgment dated 11.05.2016 (Annexure P4) passed by this Court in **CWP-11802 of 2018 titled as 'Paramjit Kaur vs. The State of Punjab and others'** and accordingly, the present writ petition be disposed of in terms of the said judgment.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of judgment dated 11.05.2016 (Annexure P4) passed by this Court in **CWP-11802 of 2018 titled as 'Paramjit Kaur vs. The State of Punjab and others'.**

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

October 22, 2018

komal

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No