

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.1000-CWP of 2018 in/and
CWP No.24552 of 2017
Date of Decision : 25.01.2018**

Ajay Kumar Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T.Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.SDoabia, Advocate with
Ms. Sukhmani T. Patwalia, Advocate
for respondents No.1, 2 and 5.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM No.1000-CWP of 2018

Application is allowed as prayed for and rejoinder to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 and Annexures P-10 and P-11 are taken on record.

CWP No.24552 of 2017

Learned counsel for contesting respondents No.3 and 4 submits that prior to the institution of the petition at hands the petitioner never approached or represented to respondents No.3 and 4 as regards his claim/grievance. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that this petition itself shall be considered as petitioner's representation and he shall be free to produce any further material which he chooses to rely upon. And, respondents No.3 and 4 shall deal therewith and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within

six weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

The interim order dated 18.12.2017 shall continue till the matter is decided by the respondents.

25.01.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No