

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22817 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 07, 2018

PARVEEN KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant family pension along with interest @ 18% per annum to her on account of death of her husband.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that legal notice dated 06.04.2018 (P-7) was duly served upon the respondents but till neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice (P-7), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances

unfolded by the petitioners in legal notice (P-7) and to take a conscious decision, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

SEPTEBER 07, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>