

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24519-2017

Date of decision-18.01.2018

Sonia and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashwani Vaishnav, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of petitioners and appoint for the post of Laboratory Technician, Grade II against the advertisement/public notice dated 26.07.2011.

Contentends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Annexure P-5 i.e. judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court dated 04.09.2017 passed in Civil Appeal No.11150-2017 titled as "Sukhwinder Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab and others".

Heard.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

In the circumstances, without advertng to the merits of the case, State is directed to consider the case of the petitioners and decide whether the case of the petitioners is covered by the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sukhwinder Kumar's case (supra). In case answer is in affirmative, the necessary consequential relief be granted to the petitioners within three months thereafter.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

18.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No