

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24483 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.02.2018

Harpreet Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to offer him the appointment on Class-III on compassionate basis from the date he becomes eligible and all the consequential benefits be paid to him along with 18 % interest.

Learned counsel contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of law laid down by this Court in case of **Rajiv Kumar Sharma Vs. State of Haryana**, 1995(3) SCT 643. He further states that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has submitted legal notice dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure P-12) and at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the competent authority is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of above said judgment, within a specified time.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent-2 to consider the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioner is at par with the petitioner(s) in the above said judgment, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.02.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No