

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22745 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 07, 2018

RAM MEHAR SHARMA AND ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to restore the deductions made from the payment of additional dearness allowance/adhoc relief and refix their pay/pension in the revised scale as introduced w.e.f. 01.05.1973, 01.04.1979, 01.10.1986 and 01.01.1996 after restoration of deduction/cut of alleged excess payment of adhoc relief along with arrears as well as interest in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.4518 of 2000, titled as “***R.K. Gupta and ors. v. State of Haryana and others***”, decided on 11.08.2003 (P-4) and Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment dated 08.12.2015 (P-5) passed in Civil Appeal No.8661 of 2009.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the

petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 14.04.2018 (P-7) in view of judgment referred to above in para 1 of this order, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in legal notice (P-7) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, particularly in view of judgment referred to above in para 1 of this order, which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioners has attained finality, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

SEPTEBER 07, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*