

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22716 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 07, 2018

NITU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Subhash Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant amount of Rs.6 lacs as ex-gratia amount in terms of scheme of respondent-bank (P-2).

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though petitioner served legal notice dated 25.11.2017 (P-3) upon the respondents but till date neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take conscious decision on legal notice (P-3) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents particularly respondent No.2 to consider the claim put forth by the

petitioner in legal notice (P-3) and to take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

SEPTEBER 07, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>