

CWP No. 2441 of 2017

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2441 of 2017
Date of Decision : 23.04.2018**

Inderjit

...Petitioner

Versus

**Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana
and others**

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. D.R. Singla, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for HUDA.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner claiming to be an oustee has filed this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of Policy dated 11.08.2016 (Annexure P-13) as well as the public notice/advertisement dated 11.01.2017 (Annexure P-14).

2. It has been claimed that in all 02K-19M of the land of the petitioner, situated within the revenue estate of Village Bhainsa Tiba, Tehsil and District Panchkula was acquired and in terms of Policy of 1987 the petitioner is entitled to 10 Marla plot. Pursuant to advertisement dated 04.03.2012, whereby applications for allotment of plots in Sector 2 and 6

Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula were invited from the oustees, the petitioner applied for allotment of a 10M plot on 27.04.2012, by depositing 10% earnest money i.e. ₹2,41,604/-. The case of the petitioner was considered by the Screening Committee and on 27.04.2014 the claim of the petitioner was declined against which the petitioner has filed an appeal (Annexure P-10) before the Administrator HUDA, Panchkula on 01.04.2015.

3. Learned counsel claims that despite the fact that the appeal is pending for the last more than 03 years, no decision has been taken thereon so far.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present writ petition and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No. 3 to decide the Appeal (Annexure P-10) within three months from the date of appearance of the petitioner and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him in accordance with law.

5. The petitioner through his representative shall appear before respondent No. 3 on 04.05.2018.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

April 23, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*