

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.137

Civil Writ Petition No.22625 of 2018
DECIDED ON: September 06, 2018

JASBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, NABHA ROAD,
PATIALA THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count qualifying service for grant of revised pensionary benefits w.e.f. date of their joining the Corporation instead of date of contribution to provident fund and extending benefit of the law laid down in CWP No. 8285 of 2004, "***Ved Parkash v. State of Punjab and others***" decided on 16.09.2010 (Annexure P-2), wherein LPA No. 207 of 2011, "***PRTC vs. Ved Parkash and another***" was dismissed on 03.02.2011 (Annexure P-3) while SLP filed by PRTC was dismissed on 12.01.2012 and in view of judgment rendered in CWP No. 4453 of 2012, "***Gurdial Singh and others v. PRTC and another***", decided on 12.03.2012 (Annexure P-4) which has been implemented vide affidavit dated 04.02.2013 (Annexure P-5).

Civil Writ Petition No.22625 of 2018

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the legal notice dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same, in the light of CWP No. 8285 of 2004, titled as “***Ved Parkash v. State of Punjab and others***” decided on 16.09.2010 (Annexure P-2) and CWP No. 4453 of 2012, “***Gurdial Singh and others v. PRTC and another***”, decided on 12.03.2012 (Annexure P-4), which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioners has already stood implemented, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

September 06, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**