

RATTAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of 23 year advance promotional increment(s), which was granted to one his colleagues Sukhdev Singh and others, who were similarly situated as in the case of petitioners in CWP No. 8589 of 2016, decided on 05.06.2016 and CWP No. 20139 of 2015, decided on 29.02.2016 (P-1 colly) and further CWP No. 2457 of 2018 titled as **Bau Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others;** decided on 05.02.2018 and other cases (P-3 Colly).

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for the release of benefit of 23 years promotional increment but no such benefit was granted to him till date. He further contended that though the similar relief has already been granted to the other employees of the State of Punjab vide judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.20139 of 2015

(*Chiman Singh Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. & ors.*) decided on

29.02.2016 (P-1), CWP No. 2457 of 2018 (*Bau Singh and others vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. & Ors*) decided on 05.02.2018 and CWP No. 5812 of 2018 (*Jagdish Singh & others vs. Punjab State Power Corp. Ltd. & others*), decided on 09.03.2018 (P-3 colly). Petitioner being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of the benefits of 23 years promotional increment was constrained to move representation to the respondents on 29.07.2018 (P-2), but till date no response has been received. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is given to respondent No.2, to decide the aforesaid representation (P-2), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case but considering the aforesaid aspects as has been unfolded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation dated 29.07.2018 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, particularly in the light of the judgments referred to above in para 2 of this order. In case, competent authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, the same be released to him within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No