

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2259-2018

Date of decision: 21.08.2018

Pushpa Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

The petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 31.03.2017(Annexure P-4) whereby her representation for stepping up pay at par with Smt. Santosh Grewal, Steno typist has been rejected.

A perusal of the order dated 31.03.2017 (Annecure P-4) shows that Smt. Santosh Grewal was appointed as Steno typist on 28.06.1996 and thereafter promoted as Junior Scale Stenographer on 01.04.2002 on the pay scale of 4000-6000 whereas the present petitioner was getting pay scale of 950-1500 and getting basic pay of Rs.3500.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the order dated 27.12.2013 (Annexure P-2) promoting the petitioner as well as Santosh Grewal to the post of Assistant in the pay band of Rs.9300-34800 + grade pay 3200 on temporary basis. The precise case of the petitioner is that after being promoted as Assistant, Santosh Grewal, being junior to her, is getting higher pay. This aspect has been rightly considered by the Director, Higher Education, Haryana. The petitioner cannot get benefit of

parity at par with Santosh Grewal as she was appointed as Steno typist and thereafter promoted as Junior Scale Stenographer on the pay scale of 4000-6000 while the petitioner at that time was working as Clerk.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

21.08.2018

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No