

CWP No. 22583 of 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2018

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the work charge service rendered by him w.e.f. 22.12.1978 to 09.08. 1988 for the purposes of pensionary benefits, in view of law laid down by this Court in **Balbir Singh vs. State of Haryana**; 2004 (4) RSJ 71 with further direction to refix the pension and to release the arrears thereof, along-with interest @18% per annum.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner moved representation to respondent No.3 on 30.08.2016 (P-4) but despite of the fact that a period of more than two years has elapsed neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the afore-said representation (P-4) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 30.08.2016 (P-4) in accordance with law, rules and regulations within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order passed by the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No