

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22547 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2018

SHARWAN KUMAR KANSAL & OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant them one additional increment of higher responsibility under Rule 4.4 (2) (c) (i), Vol.I, Part I of Punjab Civil Service Rules and in lieu of judgment rendered in CWP No. 3922 of 2011, decided on 03.10.2016 and also letter dated 15.03.2018 issued by Finance Department, Haryana on getting promotion on the post of SDE from the date of promotion and to re-fix and revise their pay accordingly with all consequential benefits with further direction to release the arrears of difference between due and drawn to them along-with interest @18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though a legal notice dated 25.04.2018 (P-3), followed by reminder dated 16.05.2018 (P-4), was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken thereon. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the afore-said legal notice (P-3) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 25.04.2018 (P-2) followed but subsequent reminder (P-3) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. In case, petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law and/or to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE