

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22543 of 2018

Date of Decision : 06.09.2018

Lakhi Ram, Advocate

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.P.Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

We are not inclined to interfere in the petition looking at the nature of the relief particularly when the petitioner would have remedies in law to approach the competent authority with the kind of grievance that he has raised in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has already moved such a representation to respondent No.2. If that is so, then the said respondent may look into the grievance raised in the representation submitted by the petitioner and take a decision thereon.

While disposing of the petition we neither comment on the merits of the case nor the issue raised in the petition and it shall be open to the said authority to apply its mind to the facts of the representation.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

06.09.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No