

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3043 of 2016 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision : 01.05.2018**

**Baljeet Singh**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. R.K.Doan, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.  
Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

**CM-1076-CWP-2017**

This is an application seeking vacation of the stay order dated 16.03.2016, whereby respondents were restrained to evict the petitioner from the premises purportedly allotted to him by the PGIMS, Rohtak.

Notice of this application was issued to the non-applicant/petitioner but no reply thereto has been filed. However, during the course of hearing of this application the petitioner had agreed to vacate the premises in question, for, he had no right, title or interest therein. And instead he prayed for two weeks time, on 19.04.2018, to vacate the premises. Accordingly, he was required to furnish an affidavit in this regard, which has since been submitted and is taken on record. The petitioner is present in person, and submits that he has since vacated the premises, and hand-over the key to the learned counsel for the respondents.

That being so, the application is disposed of, in the above terms, and the stay order dated 16.03.2016, stands vacated.

**CWP-3043-2016**

The petitioner who purports to be employed as Sweeper prays for a direction to the respondents to consider his claim for regularization, for, he has rendered more than 27 years of un-interrupted service.

As opposed to this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that there was never any relation of employer and employee between the parties and the petitioner not being in the employment of the respondents, even, the prayer for regularization is apparently mis-conceived.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw this petition, so as to enable the petitioner to move the respondents-authorities with a comprehensive representation as regards his claim/grievance and they be directed to consider and decide the same within a specified period.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the event, any such representation is made, the respondents/authorities shall consider and deal therewith, within a period of three months, from its receipt and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms.

01.05.2018

*Manoj Bhutani***( ARUN PALLI )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No