

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22358 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.09.2018

Umesh Aggarwal

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice Surya Kant
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Sudip Ahluwalia**

Present: Ms. Palak Dev, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner has been allotted industrial plot No.132, Sector-1, Phase-I, at Growth Centre, Saha vide allotment letter dated 09.10.2017 @ 6000 per sq.mtr. by HSIIDC. The schedule of payment of instalments is also mentioned in the allotment letter. A formal agreement has also been executed between the parties in respect of completion of project for which the plot has been allotted. The petitioner claims that after the above-stated allotment, the rate of allotment has been reduced to ₹ 5400/- per sq.mtr. The petitioner, thus, seeks that the allotment price in his case also be reduced to ₹ 5400/-.

(2) There is no decision on record as to how and in what circumstances, HSIIDC has allegedly reduced the allotment price and whether or not such a reduction has been actually made?

(3) Be that as it may, the petitioner claims to have represented on 12.04.2018 seeking revised and reduced allotment rate in respect of his plot. A copy of the representation is placed on record as Annexure P6.

(4) The writ petition is thus disposed of at this stage without expressing any views on merits with a direction to the second respondent to

verify the above-mentioned claim of the petitioner and communicate the decision by way of reasoned order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall continue to pay the due instalments without prejudice to his right to seek refund.

(5) Ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

04.09.2018
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes
No