

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.143

Civil Writ Petition No.22347 of 2018
DECIDED ON: September 04, 2018

R.S. BHARDWAJ

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the following reliefs:-

- (i) writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider and allow the representations dated 21.01.2016 (P-2), dated 02.11.2016 (P-5), dated 16.03.2017 (P-6) and legal notice dated 28.03.2017 (P-7) which have not been considered despite the letters issued by respondent No.4 to respondent No.3 dated 27.01.2016 (P-3) and dated 09.03.2016 (P-4) issued by respondent No.4 to respondent No.2 for considering said representations.

Civil Writ Petition No.22347 of 2018

- (ii) To issue directions to the respondents to allow the pecuniary benefits to him as a result of grant of deemed date promotion by accepting the aforesaid representations.
- (iii) To dispense with filing of certified copies of annexures P-1 to P-7 by permitting the petitioner to place on record true copies.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations including latest legal notice dated 28.03.2017 (P-7) were made to the respondents but till date no final conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to take a conscious decision on the legal notice (P-7), in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 28.03.2017 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice (P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

September 04, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**