

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no. 2878 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.12.2018

Rajender Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present : Mr.Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikram Singh and
Mr.Sandeep Singh, Advocates for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

It has been pointed out that there is no challenge to the notification under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act.

It has been specifically averred in the reply that compensation has been taken by the petitioner.

We are thus of the opinion that the instant petition needs to be dismissed but with a direction that the case of the petitioner be considered under Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy as per his entitlement.

**(Mahesh Grover)
Judge**

10.12.2018

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(Lalit Batra)
Judge**