

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22286 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 04, 2018

GURTEJ SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING
DIRECTOR, PSPCL, PATIALA
AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Tuneet Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent to grant the benefit of promotional increment(s) in view of circulars dated 23.04.1990 (P-1), 18.11.2011 (P-4) and especially in view of judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.8441 of 2018, decided on 05.04.2018, CWP No. 11585 of 2016, decided on 02.06.2016 and CWP No. 20139 of 2015 decided on 29.02.2016 (P-5), consequent to which various orders passed by respondent-corporation by which the benefit of 23 years promotional increment has already been granted to the similarly situated employees along with interest @ 18% per annum from the date when it become due till realization.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 06.07.2018 (P-6), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, Patiala to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 06.07.2018 (P-6) and to decide the same in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (P-1), 18.11.2011 (P-4) and especially in view of judgments referred to above in para No.1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, the same be released to him within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 04, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***