

128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22259-2018
Date of decision : 04.09.2018

M/s Milkha Singh Balwant Singh & Co. and others Petitioners

VS

State Bank of India Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present:- Mr.Rohit Suri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the demand notice dated 27.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) and publication of the possession notice dated 13.06.2018 (Annexure P-2) on account of the fact that the petitioner is bonafide purchaser of the property for valuable consideration.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the alternative remedies as available to it, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to it, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

04.09.2018
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No