

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2816 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.05.2018**

**Baba Karandass Disciple Baba Haridass disciple
.....Petitioner**

versus

**State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat**

Present: Mr. Vinod S.Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl.AG, Haryana.
Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

Mahesh Grover, J.(Oral)

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is willing to submit to the impugned order and contest the proceedings before the Collector as directed.

He has another apprehension that the observation made by the Financial Commissioner in the impugned order is likely to prejudice the outcome.

Since the matter has been remanded back it would actually warrant a fresh decision after the parties have led evidence in support of their claims.

We make it clear that any observation made by the Financial Commissioner qua the dispute of the land measuring 55 Kanals in the impugned order would not weigh with the competent authority while determining the issue afresh.

Disposed of.

**[MAHESH GROVER]
JUDGE**

11th May, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable *Yes/No*

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**