

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2223 of 2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-12.11.2018**

Sudhir Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present:- Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP Nos.2223 and 3938 of 2018).

Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No.27259 of 2018).

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

This order will dispose of all the aforesaid petitions bearing CWP Nos.2223, 3938 and 27259 of 2018 as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

Challenge in the present petitions is with regard to acquisition of land vide notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act') dated 26.8.2003 and 10.8.2004, respectively. The acquisition of land was for development and utilisation thereof for residential, commercial, institutional, green belt for Sectors 24, 25 and 25-A, Gurgaon (now Gurugram).

Learned counsel for the parties did not dispute the fact that the issue raised in the present petitions is squarely covered by the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.16659 of 2007 - Mangal Singh (deceased) through LRs and another vs The State of Haryana and others**, decided on 11.12.2017.

In view of the detailed reasons recorded in Mangal Singh's case (supra), the present petitions are disposed of in the same terms.

(**RAJESH BINDAL**)
JUDGE

November 12, 2018
Vijay Asija

(**MANOJ BAJAJ**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No