

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2205 of 2018
Date of Decision: 01.2.2018**

Harbans Singh and others

...Petitioners.

Versus

U.T. Administration and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

A writ in the nature of Mandamus is prayed for, requiring respondents No.2 and 3 to act in consonance with the Chandigarh Motor Vehicles Rules, 1990, as regards assignment of duties of the Conductors envisaged in Rule 32 and also to comply with the provisions of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961, in respect to overtime, payment, duty rests etc. The petitioners purport to have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-11). But to no avail. Even their representation/complaint, dated 13.12.2017 (Annexure P-12), has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 2205 of 2018

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and deal with the grievance of the petitioners as also their legal notice dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-11) and representation/complaint dated 13.12.2017 (Annexure P-12). And take appropriate measures in accordance with law.

01.2.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO