

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-21751-2018
Date of Decision: 13th September, 2018

Gurmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Wharehousing Corporation & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Hari Chand, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was dismissed from service and against the order of dismissal, he had preferred an appeal on 30.06.2014 before the Appellate Authority, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation-respondent No.3, which till date has not been decided. He contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Appellate Authority, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation-respondent No.3 to decide the said appeal within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the limited prayer as has been made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the appeal preferred by the petitioner dated 30.06.2014 (Annexure P-2) is pending consideration with the Appellate Authority, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation-respondent No.3, the same be considered and decided at an early date preferably within a period of four months from the receipt of certified copy of the order.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

13th September, 2018
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No