

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21696 of 2018
Date of decision : 29.8.2018

Madan Lal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.Naveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The prayer made in the writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuing direction to the respondents to consider the representation, Annexure P.3, filed by the petitioners under Section 13(3A) of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short, 'the Act') and pass a speaking order thereon. It is further prayed that the respondents be restrained from proceeding further till the aforesaid representation is not decided by passing a speaking order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that inadvertently certain factual matrix could not be incorporated and relevant documents annexed with the writ petition which are necessary for the adjudication of the present controversy.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he

may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 29, 2018
KD

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE