

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23421 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.11.2018

Charanjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. M.S. Kang, Advocate for
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing respondents No.1 to 3 not to call the petitioner to appear before respondent No.3 without issuing and serving upon him a notice as contemplated under Section 41-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from Head Constable Gurpreet Singh, EO Wing, District Police Office, Bhatinda, apprises the Court that the requisite notice was served upon the petitioner and thereafter petitioner has duly appeared before the Investigating Officer in pursuance to complaint dated 26.07.2017 lodged by respondent No.4 namely Sh.Gursewak Singh son of Jagsir Singh. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner had duly appeared and joined the investigation on 16.02.2018 and his statement was duly recorded. Further stated that the complaint dated 26.07.2017 of respondent No.4 has since been filed.

In the light of such categoric stand taken on behalf of the State,
nothing would survive in the instant writ petition and the same is disposed
of having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 20, 2018

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No