

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23357-2017

Date of decision-29.01.2018

Kulwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.L.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order/letter Memo No.10908/E-3(2) dated 18.08.2017 passed by respondent No.2 whereby the claim of the petitioner for recruitment as Constable on compassionate basis has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-10).

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh, to

consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-10). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that case of the petitioner is covered by Annexure P-3, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter and further it be explained as to how the case of the petitioner is distinguishable from Annexure P-9.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

29.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No