

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**CWP-21393-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.12.2018**

Nirbhai Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Anu Pal, DAG, Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI.(ORAL)

Rule.

Heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

The grievance made by learned counsel for the petitioner in the present petition is that despite adjudication and decision on the objections raised before the SDM, same has not been implemented. He submits that there is no tangible reason for not doing so whereas matter of fact this is duty of the State authority to implement the decision.

In response to the notice issued by this court on 14.11.2018 today learned State counsel on instructions from Mohit Kalyan BDPO, Maloud, makes statements that indeed adjudication has been made but not implemented. She further on instructions from Mohit Kalyan BDPO, Maloud makes statement that corrigendum would be issued in accordance thereof within 2 days from today. Statement is accepted.

Disposed of in above terms.

No order as to costs.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

04.12.2018
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No