

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21387 of 2018

Date of decision: September 25, 2018

Arif

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Ms.Sarla Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Rahul Mohan, DAG Haryana.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for parole for house repair. He is a life convict and is lodged in Faridabad Jail. The application has not been decided in terms of the provisions of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 and the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Rules, 2007. The respondents have averred that the petitioner has been involved in number of cases in the past.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and after examining the record, am of the considered opinion that the present petition deserves to be allowed because in the past, the petitioner was found involved in five cases in which he has been acquitted in four cases and in one case, he has been discharged. In two other cases, he has already suffered sentence. There is no allegation of any jail offence against the petitioner. Therefore, in these facts and circumstances, a direction is issued to the District Magistrate, Faridabad to consider the application of the petitioner for the purpose of grant of parole. The needful shall be done within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 25, 2018

Meenu

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No