

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23062 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.08.2018

Gursharan Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to close the Contract dated 16.01.2016 on administrative grounds due to lapses in facilities and infrastructures being provided by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the contract awarded in favour of the petitioner has now been closed by the competent authority, therefore, he seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the amount paid towards security has not been returned so far.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the prayer of the petitioner shall be examined and an appropriate order will be passed in due course.

Respondent No.2 is directed to take a decision within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

In case, on taking such a decision by the respondents, the petitioner is not satisfied, he shall have the liberty to either revive the present petition or file afresh.

09.08.2018
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No