

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26556 of 2016
Date of Decision: 18.07.2018

SAI TRANSPORT COMPANY (REGD.), HISAR*Petitioner*
VS.
FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...*Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

During the course of hearing, it transpired that the petitioner has an alternative remedy of seeking redressal of his grievance before the Grievance Redressal Committee, Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office, Noida.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for withdrawal of this petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Grievance Redressal Committee, Noida. However, he has prayed that a direction may be issued to the said committee to decide the dispute raised by the petitioner expeditiously.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn relegating the petitioner to avail his remedy to approach the Grievance Redressal Committee, Noida. In case any such plaint/petition is filed by the petitioner within three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the said Committee shall decide the *lis* between the parties by passing a speaking order expeditiously but preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of plaint/petition of the petitioner.

July 18, 2018
Ess Kay

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

✓
Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No