

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26537 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.02.2018

Inder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to grant seniority to the petitioner as per settled law under Rule 12.26 of Punjab Police Rules.

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 18.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 18.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed

to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

05.02.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No