

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21165 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 04, 2018

DEV RAJ SINGLA AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS..

VS.

HVPNL, PANCHKULA AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to restore deduction made from the payment of additional dearness allowance/adhoc relief and refix the pay/pension in the revised scale as introduced w.e.f. 01.05.1996 after restoration of deduction/cut of alleged excess payment of adhoc relief and after giving fresh options and further directing respondents to pay arrears of pay/pension accruing due to the restoration of cut/deduction along with interest @ 12% from the date due till payment in view of judgment dated 11.08.2003 (P-4) passed in CWP No.4518 of 2000 and Supreme Court judgment dated 08.12.2015 (P-5) passed in Civil Appeal No.8661 of 2009.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the

petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 11.04.2018 (P-7) in view of judgment referred to in para 1 of this order, in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in legal notice (P-7) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, particularly in view of judgment referred to in para No.1 of this order, which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioners has attained finality, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 04, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No