

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 26451 of 2016

Date of Decision: 07.08.2018

Mangal Sain

...Petitioner

Vs.

Educational Tribunal, Rohtak and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

This case is remanded back to the Educational Tribunal, Rohtak to examine the reason for withdrawing the employment for lack of funds. It was pleaded as a ground that non-availability of funds was not proper justification in view of the subsequent advertisement inviting applications for the same post through walk-in-interviews published during the pendency of the appeal.

Parties to appear before the Educational Tribunal, Rohtak on 20.09.2018.

The Tribunal will pay due regard to the orders passed in the connected case CWP-21296-2015, Gourve Goyal Vs. Maharishi Dayanand University Rohtak Through its Registrar and others.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

07.08.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*