

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21141-2018

Date of decision-23.10.2018

Bachan Singh and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to terminate respondent No.6 from the post of Sarpanch as she is guilty of misconduct in discharge of her duties as per inquiry (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Panipat, District Panipat to consider and decide the representation dated 27.06.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Panipat, District Panipat to consider and decide the representation dated 27.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the

receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

23.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No