

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21045 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 28, 2018

NARINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the retiral/service benefits like gratuity, leave encashment, commutation etc.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 25.05.2018 (P-2) was moved to the respondent(s) but till date neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide aforesaid representation (P-2), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Accountant

General Punjab, Punjab Building, Sector 17-E, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation (P-2) and to decide the same, in accordance with law, rules and instructions, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court as well as to have recourse to the other remedies available under law.

AUGUST 28, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*